## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 Case No.: 2:23-cv-0821-JAD-NJK 4 Susan Knisely, 5 Plaintiff Order Adopting Report & Recommendation 6 and Dismissing Action v. 7 Office of the Assistant Attorney General 8 [ECF Nos. 1, 11] Criminal Division, 9 Defendant 10 11 Because it appeared that neither the plaintiff nor the defendant is domiciled in Nevada, 12 the magistrate judge ordered the plaintiff to show cause why this case should not be dismissed or 13 transferred. Although the plaintiff responded, she was unable to establish that Nevada is a 14 proper venue for this action, so the magistrate judge recommends that this case be dismissed 15 without prejudice.<sup>2</sup> The deadline for the plaintiff to object to that report and recommendation 16 (R&R) passed without objection or a motion to extend the deadline to file one. "[N]o review is 17 required of a magistrate judge's report and recommendation unless objections are filed."<sup>3</sup> 18 Having reviewed the R&R, I find good cause to adopt it, and I do. 19 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report and 20 Recommendation [ECF No. 11] is ADOPTED. This action is DISMISSED without prejudice, 21 and the Clerk of Court is directed to CLOSE THIS CASE. 22 23 24 25 <sup>1</sup> ECF No. 5. 26 <sup>2</sup> ECF No. 11. 27

<sup>3</sup> Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003); see also Thomas v. Arn, 474

U.S. 140, 150 (1985); United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003).

28

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis [ECF No. 1] is DENIED without prejudice as moot. U.S. District Judge Jennifer July 26, 2023